

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/738,356	Applicant(s) JACKSON, RICHARD CHARLES	
	Examiner Jeff H. Aftergut	Art Unit 1733	

All Participants:

(1) Jeff H. Aftergut.

(2) John Klos.

Date of Interview: 24 August 2006

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
all

Prior art documents discussed:
all

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.


☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed how the prior art failed to teach the specified method of use for transforming a decorative scene. Noted the need to withdraw the non-elected method of making claims and cancel the same. Noted the need to correct claim 9 at line 5 to change "amate" to --a matte--. Applicant agreed to the cancellation of the claims and the change in spelling via examiner's amendment.